

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/898,019	9 07/05/2001		Seong-Soon Ahn	IK-022	9281
34610	7590	02/23/2004		EXAMINER	
FLESHNE	R & KIM	, LLP	LEUNG, PHILIP H		
P.O. BOX 221200 CHANTILLY, VA 20153				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
OII II II II I	, , , , , , ,	0133		3742	
				DATE MAILED: 02/23/2004	18

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Common to	09/898,019	AHN, SEONG-SOON					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Philip H Leung	3742					
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondenc address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 De</u>	<u>ecember 2003</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E							
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 6-9,12,14,15 and 17-25 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6-9,12,14,15 and 17-19 is/are allowed 6) ☐ Claim(s) 20-25 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration. i.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:						
5 D							

Application/Control Number: 09/898,019

Art Unit: 3742

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The amendment filed 12/2/2003 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: The sentence "in other words, cooling efficiency is enhanced because the cooling air flows in a substantially straight line between the suction grill 50 and the suction port 41" added in the paragraph bridging page 7 and page 8 is new matter not supported by the original disclosure. As pointed out in the previous Office action, as shown in the drawings, the inlet port (suction grill 50) is on the front while the outlet 41 is on the sidewall (partitioning wall 55), therefore the path at the end near the outlet turned at an angle and the entire air path cannot be described as "a substantially straight line". A more accurate description of the airflow path needs to be used.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

2. Claims 20-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. More specifically, as set forth above, the claimed limitation "a cooling airflow path between the inlet port and the outlet port defining a substantially straight line therebetween" at lines 5-6 of claim 20 does not have support in the original specification. Furthermore, the

Application/Control Number: 09/898,019

Art Unit: 3742

drawings show that the air path does not form a straight line as the inlet and outlet are not located opposite each other, therefore the limitation is still considered as new matter and must be removed. Clarification and correction are required.

Page 3

- 3. Claims 6-9, 12, 14, 15 and 17-19 are allowed.
- 4. Applicant's arguments filed 12/2/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The limitation "substantially straight line" is clearly not supported by the original drawings, which show the end of the airflow path makes a turn towards the outlet. At best, it is misdescriptive. It is suggested more accurate wordings to properly describe the entire airflow path, which includes a turn at the end, should be used. Applicant may contact the Examiner to discuss acceptable wordings to advance prosecution of the application.
- 5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3742

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip H Leung whose telephone number is (703) 308-1710.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ehud Gartenberg can be reached on (703) 308-2634. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Philip H Leung

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3742

P.Leung/pl 2-19-2004